

Math Logic: Model Theory & Computability

Lecture 05

Example. In $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mon}} := (1, \cdot)$, let $\underline{A} := (\mathbb{Z}, 1, \cdot)$ and $\underline{B} := (\mathbb{Z}, \overset{0}{1}, \overset{+}{\cdot})$.

Then $t := (v_1 \cdot 1) \cdot v_3$ is a \mathcal{T}_{mon} -term, and $t(v_1, v_3)$ and $t(v_1, v_3, v_2)$ are both extended terms. $t^{\underline{A}}(v_1, v_3) : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $(n_1, n_2) \mapsto (n_1 \cdot 1) \cdot n_2$ while $t^{\underline{B}}(v_1, v_3) : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ taking $(n_1, n_2) \mapsto (n_1 + 0) + n_2$.

On the other hand, $t^{\underline{A}}(v_1, v_3, v_2) : \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_1 \cdot 1) \cdot n_2$ and $t^{\underline{B}}(v_1, v_3, v_2) : \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_1 + 0) + n_2$.

We call the variables present is \vec{v} but not used in t *dummy variables*. Note that to denote the value of the function $t^{\underline{A}}(v_1, v_3, v_2)$ at (n_1, n_2, n_3) , we would have to write $t^{\underline{A}}(v_1, v_3, v_2)(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, which is too cumbersome, so when there is no chance of confusion, we'd simply write $t^{\underline{A}}(n_1, n_2, n_3)$.

Just like homomorphisms preserve the constants and operations, they also preserve interpretations of terms:

Prop. Let $\underline{A} := (A, \sigma)$ and $\underline{B} := (B, \sigma)$ be σ -structures and $h : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ be a homomorphism. Then for each extended σ -term $t(\vec{v})$ with $n := |\vec{v}|$, we have that

$$h \circ t^{\underline{A}}(\vec{v}) = t^{\underline{B}}(\vec{v}) \circ h,$$

more precisely, $h(t^{\underline{A}}(\vec{a})) = t^{\underline{B}}(h(\vec{a}))$ for all $\vec{a} \in A^n$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on the length/construction of t .

Case 1: $t = c$, where $c \in \text{const}(\sigma)$. Then $h(t^{\underline{A}}(\vec{a})) = h(c^{\underline{A}}) = c^{\underline{B}} = t^{\underline{B}}(h(\vec{a}))$.

Case 2: $t = v_i$, where v_i is a variable. Then because $t(\vec{v})$ is an ext. term,

this v_i must appear in \vec{V} , say as the j^{th} variable, so
 $h(t^A(\vec{a})) = h(a_j) = (h(\vec{a}))_j = t^B(h(\vec{a}))$.

Case 3: $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, where $f \in \text{Func}_k(\sigma)$ and t_1, \dots, t_k are σ -terms.

Then because $t(\vec{v})$ is an ext term, so are $t_1(\vec{v}), \dots, t_k(\vec{v})$. Hence by induction, $h(t_i^A(\vec{a})) = t_i^B(h(\vec{a}))$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Moreover, because h is a hom, h commutes with the interpretation of f , so $h(t^A(\vec{a})) = h(f^A(t_1^A(\vec{a}), \dots, t_k^A(\vec{a}))) = f^B(h(t_1^A(\vec{a})), \dots, h(t_k^A(\vec{a}))) = f^B(t_1^B(h(\vec{a})), \dots, t_k^B(h(\vec{a}))) = t^B(h(\vec{a}))$. \square

Terms are names for new functions obtained by composition from constants and variables. We also need names for relations (= true/false valued functions) obtained from the equality and relation symbols in σ by logical connectives and quantifiers.

Def. A σ -formula is a word φ in the alphabet A_σ defined inductively as follows:

- (i) $\varphi := t_1 = t_2$, where t_1, t_2 are σ -terms.
- (ii) $\varphi := R(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$, where $R \in \text{Rel}_k(\sigma)$ and t_1, \dots, t_k are σ -terms.
- (iii) $(\varphi \vee \eta)$, where φ, η are σ -formulas.
- (iv) $(\neg \varphi)$, where φ is a σ -formula.
- (v) $(\exists v_i \varphi)$, where φ is a σ -formula and v_i is a variable.

Examples. (a) σ_{arith} := $(0, S, +, \cdot)$, where S is a unary function symbol and the other symbols are as expected. Then the following are formulas: $S(S(0)) = v_0$, $\exists v_1 \forall v_2 ((v_1 \cdot v_0) + S(0) = v_2) \vee (S(0) = 0)$.

(b) In the same signature σ_{arith} , let's abbreviate by $k := \underbrace{S(S(\dots S(0)))}_k$.

Also $v_i^k := (\underbrace{\dots (v_i \cdot v_i) \dots}_{k} \cdot v_i)$. Also, let $\Psi \wedge \mathcal{M} := \neg (\neg \Psi) \vee (\neg \mathcal{M})$

$$\Psi \rightarrow \mathcal{M} := (\neg \Psi) \vee \mathcal{M}$$

Then the following are σ -formulas: $\forall v_i \Psi := \neg (\exists v_i (\neg \Psi))$

- $\leq := (\exists z (x+z=y))$ [we use other letter like x, y, z, u, v, w for variable]
- $\text{div} := (\exists z (x \cdot z = y))$ with the understanding that these should all
- $\text{prime} := (\forall x (\text{div} \rightarrow (x=1) \vee (x=y)))$ be replaced by v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots

We now need to define extended σ -formulas, but unlike with terms, it's not as simple as just requiring the vector \vec{v} to include all variables present in a given σ -formula because some variable may appear under quantifiers. For example $\forall x (x=x)$ is a σ -formula and intuitively, it is always true and to compute this we don't need to give x a value from "outside". Even worse, in the formula $(\forall x (x=y)) \vee (\neg (x=z))$.

We need to define a notion of a free variable...